REMARKS

Independent claim 1 is amended to provide a detailed definition of the dewaxing catalyst

that is used in the dewaxing step of the claimed process and which provides for the required

selectivity to yield the dewaxed oil as recited in the claim. Support for this amendment is found

in the published specification in paragraphs [0037] and [0042] – [0051] and the examples.

Dependent claim 6 is amended in response to the Examiner's objection. It is respectfully

submitted that this amendment obviates the Examiner's objection.

§103(a) Rejection of Claims 1-10 over Gilbert et al. (WO 02/070627) in view of Chen et al

(US 4,851,109).

It is respectfully submitted that with the specification of the composition of the dewaxing

catalyst that the claims are patentably distinguishable over the cited combination of references.

With the use of the specifically defined selective dewaxing catalyst composition in the claimed

process, a particular feedstock may be processed to yield the dewaxed oil as recited in the claim

to ultimately yield two or more base oil grades. This is clearly distinguishable over the cited

references. The amendments to independent claim, thus, renders this rejection moot.

Provisional Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection of Claims 1-10 over Copending

Application No. 10/591,115.

Upon the determination of allowable subject matter in the application, the Applicants will

consider the possibility of filing a terminal disclaimer in order to obviate this provisional

rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

NICHOLAS J. ADAMS, HARMANNUS J. HEGGE,

LAURENT G. HUVE, KEVIN J. A. POLDER, and

WIECHER D. E. STEENGE

By /Charles W. Stewart/

Their Attorney, Charles W. Stewart

Registration No. 34,023

(713) 241-0360

P. O. Box 2463 Houston, Texas 77252-2463

TS5590 AMDT.DOC

4